

Equilibrium solutions of the shallow water equations

Peter B. Weichman¹ and Dean M. Petrich²

¹*Blackhawk Geometrics, 301 Commercial Road, Suite B, Golden, CO 80401*

²*Condensed Matter Physics 114-36, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125*

(February 2, 2008)

A statistical method for calculating equilibrium solutions of the shallow water equations, a model of essentially 2-d fluid flow with a free surface, is described. The model contains a competing acoustic turbulent *direct* energy cascade, and a 2-d turbulent *inverse* energy cascade. It is shown, nonetheless that, just as in the corresponding theory of the inviscid Euler equation, the infinite number of conserved quantities constrain the flow sufficiently to produce nontrivial large-scale vortex structures which are solutions to a set of explicitly derived coupled nonlinear partial differential equations.

The evolution of a fluid from a strongly random initial condition is generally characterized by one or more turbulent cascades of energy to larger and/or smaller scales. Whether energy flows to smaller scales via a direct cascade, or to larger scales via an inverse cascade, is determined by a combination of conservation laws and phase space considerations. Generally, if only energy is conserved [1] (as for 3-d Navier-Stokes turbulence [2]), its flow in phase space will be globally unconstrained and will spread out to arbitrarily high wavenumbers, eventually draining all energy out of any large-scale macroscopic flows initially present. Perhaps the most familiar example of this is the thermodynamic equilibration of a container of gas to a macroscopically featureless final state in which all energy eventually ends up as heat, i.e., microscopic molecular motion. If, however, one or more additional conservation laws are present (as for 2-d Navier-Stokes turbulence [2], or for deep water surface gravity wave turbulence [3]) their multiple enforcement will generally not permit *both* conserved quantities to escape to small scales, and macroscopic structure, whose profile will be initial condition and boundary condition dependent, will survive. An example is the equilibration to a *rigidly rotating* final state of a gas in a *cylindrical* container with frictionless walls. The additional conservation of angular momentum along the axis of the cylinder precludes a featureless final state.

A long-standing problem has been the characterization of final states of systems with an *infinite* number of conservation laws [4]. Different values of the conserved quantities should then produce an infinite dimensional space of final states [5]. The example of the equilibrating gas motivates one to postulate that the macroscopic final state, be it featureless or not, should be thermodynamic in character, i.e., it should be an *equilibrium* state computable from the appropriate Hamiltonian using the formalism of statistical mechanics. In [6] this approach was used to produce a full characterization of the equilibrium states of the 2-d incompressible Euler equation (the inviscid limit of the 2-d Navier-Stokes equation) [7], where the conserved quantities are the standard integrals

of all powers of the vorticity. The equilibria were found to be characterized by a macroscopic steady state vorticity distribution $\omega_0(\mathbf{r})$ obeying an explicit “mean field” partial differential equation whose input parameters were determined by the values of the conserved quantities.

The 2-d Euler equation is the simplest of these systems in the sense that the incompressibility constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ reduces the dynamics to that of the single scalar vorticity field $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v} \equiv \partial_x v_y - \partial_y v_x$, and the conservation laws then provide an infinite sequence of global constraints on its evolution. In this work we study a more complicated system of equations, the shallow water equations, an extension of the 2-d Euler equation that includes a free surface with height field $h(\mathbf{r})$ coupled to gravity g . The horizontal velocity $\mathbf{v}$ now becomes compressible (with 3-d incompressibility enforced via $v_z = -z\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$) but is assumed to be independent of the vertical coordinate z . The effective 2-d dynamical equations are:

$$\frac{D\mathbf{v}}{Dt} \equiv \partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -g\nabla h \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_t h + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (2)$$

The first equation expresses the fact that the fluid accelerates in response to gradients in the surface height, and the second enforces mass conservation, i.e., the full 3-d incompressibility. The Euler equation is recovered formally when $g \rightarrow \infty$ since height fluctuations are then suppressed. It is straightforward to verify that the ratio $\Omega \equiv \omega/h$ is convectively conserved, $D\Omega/Dt \equiv 0$, implying conservation of all integrals of the form

$$C_f = \int d^2r h(\mathbf{r}) f[\Omega(\mathbf{r})] \quad (3)$$

for any function $f(s)$. These may be fully characterized by the function $g(\sigma)$, $-\infty < \sigma < \infty$, obtained from (3) with $f(s) = \delta(\sigma - s)$, and $g(\sigma)d\sigma$ therefore represents the 3-d volume on which $\sigma \leq \Omega \leq \sigma + d\sigma$. For general f one then recovers $C_f = \int d\sigma f(\sigma)g(\sigma)$. Note that if $\omega \equiv 0$ initially, then it must remain zero for all time. Initial conditions of this type then generate (nonlinear, in general) wave motions *only* [9].

The extension of the equilibrium theory to the shallow water equations is a significant advance because in addition to the usual vortical motions they contain acoustic wave motions [8]. The latter are known [10] to have a direct cascade of wave energy to small scales. One then has the very interesting situation in which there are two *competing* energy cascades, and the question arises as to which one “wins.” In particular, is it possible that the macroscopic vortex structures can “radiate” wave energy and disappear entirely? We will show that under reasonable physical assumptions a finite fraction of the energy remains in large scale vortex structures, and we will derive exact mean field equations for the equilibrium structure.

The statistical formalism proceeds in a sequence of well defined steps. First, the Hamiltonian corresponding to (1) and (2) is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r (h\mathbf{v}^2 + gh^2), \quad (4)$$

though the Poisson bracket yielding (1) and (2) from (4) is noncanonical [4]. Second, the partition function is defined as an integral over the phase space of fields $h, \mathbf{v}$ with an appropriate statistical measure. This so-called invariant measure is most easily computed if the dynamics can be expressed in terms of a set of variables, canonical variables being an example, for which a Liouville theorem is satisfied. In this case invariant measures are any function of the conserved integrals, with different choices corresponding to different ensembles. In the Euler case [6] the field ω itself satisfies a Liouville theorem. In the shallow water case no obvious combination of $h, \mathbf{v}$ or their derivatives meet this requirement.

To circumvent this problem we transform to a *Lagrangian* description, in terms of interacting infinitesimal parcels of fluid of equal 3-d volume, for which canonical variables are easy to construct. Thus, let $\mathbf{a}$ be a 2-d labeling of the system, and let $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a}, t)$ be the position of the parcel of fluid such that, e.g., $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a}, 0) = \mathbf{a}$. Since all parcels have equal mass, the conjugate momentum is $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}, t) = \dot{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{a}, t) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a}, t), t)$. The height field is simply the Jacobian of the transformation between $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{a}$:

$$h_0/h(\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a})) = \det(\partial\mathbf{r}/\partial\mathbf{a}) = \partial_{a_1}r_2 - \partial_{a_2}r_1, \quad (5)$$

where h_0 is the overall mean height. The Hamiltonian (4) now takes the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{h_0}{2} \int d^2a [\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a})^2 + gh(\mathbf{a})], \quad (6)$$

while,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\mathbf{a}) &\equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{v} = h(\partial_{a_2}r_1\partial_{a_1}p_1 - \partial_{a_1}r_1\partial_{a_2}p_1 \\ &\quad + \partial_{a_2}r_2\partial_{a_1}p_2 - \partial_{a_1}r_2\partial_{a_2}p_2) \\ q(\mathbf{a}) &\equiv \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = h(\partial_{a_2}r_2\partial_{a_1}p_1 - \partial_{a_1}r_2\partial_{a_2}p_1 \\ &\quad + \partial_{a_2}r_1\partial_{a_1}p_2 - \partial_{a_1}r_1\partial_{a_2}p_2). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It is easily verified that the Lagrangian forms of (1) and (2) follow from the Hamiltonian equations of motion $\dot{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{a}) = \delta\mathcal{H}/\delta\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\dot{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{a}) = -\delta\mathcal{H}/\delta\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{a})$. The Liouville theorem, which is a statement of incompressibility of flows in phase space,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha} \int d^2a [\delta\dot{r}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})/\delta r_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a}) + \delta\dot{p}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})/\delta p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})] \\ &= \int d^2a [\delta^2\mathcal{H}/\delta r_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})\delta p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a}) - \delta^2\mathcal{H}/\delta p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})\delta r_{\alpha}(\mathbf{a})] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

then follows immediately and implies that the correct statistical measure is $\rho(\mathcal{H}, \{g(\sigma)\}) \prod_{\mathbf{a}} d^2r(\mathbf{a})d^2p(\mathbf{a})$. In the *grand canonical ensemble*, which we shall adopt, the function ρ is given by $\rho = e^{-\beta\mathcal{K}}$, where $\beta = 1/T$ is a hydrodynamic “temperature” and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \mathcal{H} - \int d\sigma \mu(\sigma)g(\sigma) \\ &= \mathcal{H} - \int d^2r h(\mathbf{r})\mu[\omega(\mathbf{r})/h(\mathbf{r})] \\ &= \mathcal{H} - h_0 \int d^2a \mu[\omega(\mathbf{a})/h(\mathbf{a})] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

in which $\mu(\sigma)$ is a chemical potential that couples to each level $\omega(\mathbf{r})/h(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma$. The partition function is now defined by

$$Z[\beta, \{\mu(\sigma)\}] = \frac{1}{N!} \prod_{\mathbf{a}} \int d^2r(\mathbf{a}) \int d^2p(\mathbf{a}) e^{-\beta\mathcal{K}}, \quad (10)$$

where $N \rightarrow \infty$ is the number of fluid parcels and $N!$ is the usual classical delabeling factor. The thermodynamic averages of the conserved quantities are now obtained in the usual fashion as derivatives with respect to the chemical potentials, $\langle g(\sigma) \rangle = T\delta \ln(Z)/\delta \mu(\sigma)$.

One would now like to transform the integration in (10) back to physical Eulerian variables. The key observation is that, from (7), $\Omega \equiv \omega/h$ and $Q \equiv q/h$ are *linear* in $\mathbf{p}$. Therefore, one may formally invert this relationship to obtain $\prod_{\mathbf{a}} d^2p(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_{\mathbf{a}} dQ(\mathbf{a})d\Omega(\mathbf{a})J[h]$, where, due to the particle relabeling symmetry (both $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ depend only on $\mathbf{r}$ and are then clearly invariant under any permutation of the labels $\mathbf{a}$), the Jacobian J is a functional of the height field $h(\mathbf{a})$ *alone*. The exact form of J will turn out to be unimportant. Similarly, $(1/N!) \prod_{\mathbf{a}} \int d^2r(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_{\mathbf{a}} \int dh(\mathbf{a})I[h]$, where $I[h]$ is another Jacobian. The $1/N!$ factor precisely removes the relabeling symmetry that, in particular, leaves the height field invariant. Finally, we replace the label $\mathbf{a}$ by the actual position $\mathbf{r}$, in which the equal volume restriction on each fluid parcel implies that the infinitesimal area of each parcel must be determined by $dV = h(\mathbf{r})d^2r = \text{constant}$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{N!} \prod_{\mathbf{a}} \int d^2r(\mathbf{a}) \int d^2p(\mathbf{a}) \\ &= \prod_{\mathbf{r}} \int dh(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{J}[h] \int d\Omega(\mathbf{r}) \int dQ(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

in which $\mathcal{J}[h] = I[h]J[h]$, and the mesh over which the label $\mathbf{r}$ runs is *nonuniform* and *changes* with each realization of the height field h .

The statistical operator $\mathcal{K}$ must also be expressed in terms of Q, Ω, h . Only for the kinetic energy $T = \int d^2r h \mathbf{v}^2$ does this require some nontrivial manipulations. Let the current $\mathbf{j} \equiv h\mathbf{v}$ be decomposed in the form $\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \psi - \nabla \phi$. One obtains then

$$\begin{pmatrix} h\Omega \\ hQ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \times \frac{1}{h} \nabla \times & -\nabla \times \frac{1}{h} \nabla \\ \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{h} \nabla \times & -\nabla \cdot \frac{1}{h} \nabla \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

The 2×2 matrix operator, which we shall denote $\mathcal{L}_h$, appearing on the right hand side of (12) is self adjoint and positive definite, and therefore possesses an inverse, i.e., a 2×2 matrix Green function $\mathcal{G}_h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{G}_h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \mathbb{1} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. An explicit form for $\mathcal{G}_h$ will not be needed. The kinetic energy is then $T = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2r \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \int d^2r h(\psi \Omega + \phi Q)$, i.e.,

$$T = \int d^2r h(\mathbf{r}) \int d^2r' h(\mathbf{r}') \begin{pmatrix} \Omega(\mathbf{r}) \\ Q(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \begin{pmatrix} \Omega(\mathbf{r}') \\ Q(\mathbf{r}') \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

and the complete statistical operator is

$$\mathcal{K} = T + \int d^2r h(\mathbf{r}) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} g h(\mathbf{r}) - \mu[\Omega(\mathbf{r})] \right\} \quad (14)$$

The appearance of the factors $h(\mathbf{r})$ and $h(\mathbf{r}')$ is crucial here because, as discussed above, $dV = h(\mathbf{r})d^2r$ and $dV' = h(\mathbf{r}')d^2r'$ are both uniform for each given statistical mesh.

We finally come to the evaluation of the partition function itself. This is accomplished with the use of the *Kac-Hubbard-Stratanovich* (KHS) transformation, which in discrete form reads for any positive definite matrix $\mathbf{A}$,

$$e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} y_i A_{ij} y_j} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \prod_i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta_i e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \zeta_i A_{ij}^{-1} \zeta_j - \sum_i \zeta_i \cdot y_i}, \quad (15)$$

where y_i and ζ_i may be vectors, and the normalization is $\mathcal{N} = \sqrt{\det(2\pi\mathbf{A})}$. This identity follows by completing the square on the right hand side and performing the remaining Gaussian integral. We apply it to the discretized version of (10) and (11) with finite dV , and the identifications $A_{ij} = -\beta^{-1} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$ [11], $y_i = \beta dV [\Omega(\mathbf{x}_i), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)]$ and we introduce the notation $\zeta_i = [\Psi(\mathbf{x}_i), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i)]$. The continuum limit $dV \rightarrow 0$ will be taken at the end. The partition function is now

$$Z = \prod_i \int d\mathbf{h}_i \frac{\mathcal{J}[h]}{\mathcal{N}[h]} \int d\Psi_i d\Phi_i \int dQ_i d\Omega_i e^{\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = & dV \sum_{i,j} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_i \\ \Phi_i \end{pmatrix} [\mathcal{L}_h]_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_j \\ \Phi_j \end{pmatrix} \\ & - dV \sum_i [\Omega_i \Psi_i + Q_i \Phi_i - \mu(\Omega_i)], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

in which $[\mathcal{L}_h]_{ij}$ is an appropriate discretization of the differential operator $\mathcal{L}_h$. Notice that the inverse of $\mathcal{G}_h$ has led to the reappearance of the local differential operator $\mathcal{L}_h$.

At the expense, then of introducing the new fields Ψ, Φ we have succeeded in producing a purely *local* action in which the integration over Ω_i, Q_i can be performed independently for each i (for given fixed field h). However, we now arrive at a problem whose physical origin, as we shall see, lies precisely in the direct cascade of wave energy. Thus, the chemical potential function $\mu(\sigma)$ controls convergence of the Laplace transform-type integral

$$e^{\bar{\beta} W[\Psi_i]} \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega_i e^{-\bar{\beta} [\Omega_i \Psi_i - \mu(\Omega_i)]}, \quad (18)$$

where $\bar{\beta} \equiv \beta dV$ corresponds to a rescaled hydrodynamic temperature $\bar{T} = T dV$ which is assumed to remain *finite* as $dV \rightarrow 0$ —the object of this choice is to obtain the correct control parameter for nontrivial hydrodynamic equilibria in the continuum limit that, as we shall see, yields a nontrivial balance between energy and entropy contributions to the final free energy [6]. However, there is no corresponding chemical potential controlling Q_i and the corresponding integral does not converge. Recalling that $Q = (1/h) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$, unboundedness of Q reflects unboundedness of small-scale gradients in the compressional part of $\mathbf{v}$ and in h [12]. Thus, taken literally, the direct cascade of wave energy leads to arbitrarily small scale fluctuations of the fluid surface that remain of fixed amplitude, i.e., a kind of foam of fixed thickness. Physically, of course, such small scale motions are rapidly dissipated by processes that violate the approximations used to derive the shallow water equations, e.g., by some combination of viscosity and wave breaking [13]. This leads to the following physically motivated assumption: dissipative processes that suppress wave motions lead to the interpretation $\int dQ_i \exp(\beta Q_i \Phi_i) \rightarrow \delta(\beta \Phi_i)$, i.e., to the vanishing of Φ_i .

With $\Phi_i \equiv 0$, only the (1,1) component of $\mathcal{L}_h$ contributes, and in the continuum limit $dV \rightarrow 0$ the partition function becomes

$$Z = \prod_{\mathbf{r}} \int d\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\mathcal{J}[h]}{\mathcal{L}[h]} \int d\Psi(\mathbf{r}) e^{-\beta \mathcal{F}[h, \Psi]}, \quad (19)$$

where the Free energy functional is

$$\mathcal{F} = - \int d^2r \left[\frac{(\nabla \Psi)^2}{2h} - \frac{1}{2} g h^2 + h W[\Psi] \right]. \quad (20)$$

The key observation now is that $\beta = \bar{\beta}/dV \rightarrow \infty$ in the continuum limit. Thus, mean field theory becomes *exact* and equilibrium solutions are given by *extrema* of $\mathcal{F}$.

This is why the integration over the field $h(\mathbf{r})$, with its unknown Jacobian, is ultimately irrelevant. The underlying assumption is only that the Jacobian is smooth, or at least less singular than $e^{-\beta\mathcal{F}}$, in the neighborhood of the extremum in the continuum limit.

The extremum conditions $\delta\mathcal{F}/\delta\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = 0 = \delta\mathcal{F}/\delta h(\mathbf{x})$ yield then the mean field equations

$$\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{1}{h(\mathbf{r})} \nabla \Psi \right] = h(\mathbf{r}) W'[\Psi(\mathbf{r})] \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{[\nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r})]^2}{2h(\mathbf{r})^2} = W[\Psi(\mathbf{r})] - gh(\mathbf{r}), \quad (22)$$

By adding a source term $\int d^2r h(\mathbf{r}) \tau(\mathbf{r}) \Omega(\mathbf{r})$ to $\mathcal{K}$, which serves only to replace Ψ by $\Psi - \tau$ inside W , one may compute the equilibrium average $\langle \Omega(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = [\delta\mathcal{F}/\delta\tau(\mathbf{r})]_{\tau=0} = -h^{-1} \nabla \cdot (h^{-1} \nabla \Psi)$. It follows then that $\langle \mathbf{j} \rangle = \nabla \times \Psi$, so that Ψ is the *stream function* associated with the equilibrium current. Equation (21) is in fact equivalent to $\Omega = -W'(\Psi)$, which guarantees that this is a true equilibrium solution satisfying $\dot{\Omega} = 0$, and equation (22) is equivalent to Bernoulli's theorem since it can be rewritten as $(1/2)\mathbf{v}^2 + gh = W(\Psi)$.

As a simple example, in the case where $\Omega = \sigma_0$ over half the 3-d volume of the fluid and $\Omega = 0$ on the other half, the chemical potential takes the form $e^{\beta\mu(\sigma)} = e^{\beta\mu_0} \delta(\sigma) + e^{\beta\mu_1} \delta(\sigma - \sigma_0)$, and therefore by (18) $e^{\beta W(s)} = e^{\beta\mu_0} + e^{\beta(\mu_1 - \sigma_0 s)}$. Extensive numerical solutions for the Euler equilibria exist for this “two-level” system as a function of β and $\mu_1 - \mu_0$. [6,7]. In preliminary numerical work, we find that the shallow water equilibria generated by (22) have very similar structure (with, for example, vorticity moving from the walls toward the center of the system as β decreases from positive to negative values), while the height field basically covaries with the vorticity in order to maintain hydrostatic balance. Details of this work will be presented elsewhere.

The techniques presented in this paper can be used to generate equilibrium equations for a number of other systems with an infinite number of conserved integrals [4]. The key insight presented here is that whenever such a system contains simultaneous direct and inverse energy cascades, the long time dynamics becomes very singular and additional physically motivated assumptions must be made in order to derive sensible equilibria. Our assumption, that dissipation acts to suppress the forward cascading degrees of freedom with negligible effect on the macroscopic state, presumably depends on the smoothness of the initial condition. Comparisons with detailed numerical simulations will be required to evaluate such effects.

Note added: After completion of this work we became aware of an e-print [14] where equations equivalent to (22) are derived from a phenomenological maximum entropy theory. No statistical mechanical derivation is given, nor is the interaction between wave and vortical motions and the effects of waves on equilibration discussed.

-
- [1] Real fluids are always viscous, but models of turbulence generally concern themselves with the “inertial range” where loss of energy due to viscous damping is small compared to that due to the cascade process, and an energy conserving model is appropriate.
 - [2] See, e.g., A. S. Monin, and A. M. Yaglom, *Statistical Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 1, (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1971).
 - [3] See, e.g., G. Falkovich, V. L’vov, and V. E. Zakharov, *Weak turbulence theory of waves*.
 - [4] For several examples of such systems see, e.g., D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, T. Ratiu and A. Weinstein, *Phys. Rep.* **123**, 1 (1985).
 - [5] Study of these states is partly motivated by the constraints they place on simulations of turbulent flow, e.g., the degree to which they properly preserve the conservation laws.
 - [6] J. Miller, P. B. Weichman, and M. C. Cross, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 2328 (1992).
 - [7] The statistical approach relies on the assumption of ergodicity of the dynamics. This assumption has been explored numerically with mixed results: depending upon the initial condition, the dynamics may get stuck in metastable equilibria. See, e.g., Pei-Long Chen Ph. D Thesis, Caltech (1996); D. Z. Jinn and D. H. E. Dubin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 4434 (1998).
 - [8] The linearized versions of these equations, $\partial_t \mathbf{v} = -g \nabla \eta$, where $\eta = h - h_0$ with h_0 the mean surface height, and $\partial_t \eta + h_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, have longitudinal traveling wave solutions $\eta = \eta_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - c|\mathbf{k}|t)}$, $\mathbf{v} = \eta_0 (c/h_0) \hat{\mathbf{k}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - c|\mathbf{k}|t)}$, with speed $c = \sqrt{gh_0}$. These waves become coupled through the nonlinear terms as the amplitude η_0 increases.
 - [9] If $\omega \equiv 0$ then $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla \phi$ leads to the pair of scalar equations $\partial_t \phi = g(h - h_0) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2$, $\partial_t h = \nabla \cdot (h \nabla \phi)$.
 - [10] See, e.g., A. Balk, *Phys. Lett. A* **187**, 302 (1994).
 - [11] Note that $\beta < 0$ is required for positive definiteness of $\mathbf{A}$, i.e., hydrodynamic equilibria often correspond to *negative* temperature states. This is explained in detail in [6]. If $\beta > 0$ one uses the KHS transformation with $\zeta_i \rightarrow i\zeta_i$.
 - [12] Divergences in these gradients may in fact occur in finite time since the shallow water equations are believed to produce shock wave solutions. Appropriate continuation of the equations nevertheless allows the conservation of ω/h to be maintained even the presence of shocks (D. D. Holm, private communication).
 - [13] Dissipation processes also act on the vortex structures, but the conservation laws guarantee that microscopic fluctuations in Ω , unlike Q , remain finite and hence will be dissipated much less strongly.
 - [14] P. H. Chavanis and J. Sommeria, <http://xxx.lanl.gov/physics/0004056>.